Basic class	Proposed 1996 quotas
Normethadone	7 7 2 2 2 55,100
Schedule II: Alfentanil	8,500 15 1,300,100 550,040 58,395,000 16,632,000
levodesoxyephedrine for use in a noncontrolled, nonprescription product and 44 kg for methamphetamine	1,044,000 118,066,000 60,000 1,063,000
Ecgonine (for conversion) Ethylmorphine Fentanyl Hydrocodone (for sale) Hydrocodone (for conversion) Hydromorphone Isomethadone Levo-alpha-acetylmethadol	650,100 12 120,100 8,880,000 2,800,000 448,000 12 200,000
Levorphanol	14,300 10,822,000 4,551,000 364,000
conversion)	5,534,000 723,000 10,291,000 12,450,000 76,735,000 2,000
Noroxymorphone (for conversion)	2,406,000 1,226,000 5,571,000 37,300 11,200 15,100,000
Phencyclidine Phenylacetone (for conversion) 1-Phenylcyclohexylamine 1-	5,280,000 10
Piperidinocyclohexanecarbonitrile	12 400,000 1,000 9,217,000

The Deputy Administrator further proposes that aggregate production quotas for all other Schedules I and II controlled substances included in §§ 1308.11 and 1308.12 of title 21 of the Code of Federal Regulations be established at zero.

All interested persons are invited to submit their comments and objections in writing regarding this proposal. A person may object to or comment on the proposal relating to any of the abovementioned substances without filing comments or objections regarding the others. If a person believes that one or more of these issues warrant a hearing, the individual should so state and summarize the reasons for this belief.

In the event that comments or objections to this proposal raise one or more issues which the Deputy Administrator finds warrant a hearing, the Deputy Administrator shall order a public hearing by notice in the **Federal Register**, summarizing the issues to be heard and setting the time for the hearing.

The Office of Management and Budget has determined that notices of aggregate production quotas are not subject to centralized review under Executive Order 12866. This action has been analyzed in accordance with the principles and criteria contained in Executive Order 12612, and it has been determined that this matter does not have sufficient federalism implications to warrant the preparation of a Federalism Assessment.

The Deputy Administrator hereby certifies that this action will have no significant impact upon small entities whose interests must be considered under the Regulatory Flexibility Act, 5 U.S.C. 601, et seq. The establishment of annual aggregate production quotas for Schedules I and II controlled substances is mandated by law and by international treaty obligations. While aggregate production quotas are of primary importance to large manufacturers, their impact upon small entities is neither negative nor beneficial. Accordingly, the Deputy Administrator has determined that this action does not require a regulatory flexibility analysis.

Dated: July 19, 1995.

### Stephen H. Greene,

Deputy Administrator. [FR Doc. 95–18407 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

# Manufacturer of Controlled Substances; Registration

By Notice dated May 30, 1995, and published in the **Federal Register** on June 8, 1995 (60 FR 30318), Research Triangle Institute, Kenneth H. Davis, Jr., Hermann Building, East Institute Drive, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360)	I
Cocaine (9041)	II

No comments or objections have been received. Therefore, pursuant to section 303 of the Comprehensive Drug Abuse Prevention and Control Act of 1970 and Title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1301.54(e), the Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, hereby orders that the application submitted by the above firm for registration as a bulk manufacturer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above is granted.

Dated: July 19, 1995.

#### Gene R. Haislip,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–18408 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

# Importer of Controlled Substances; Registration

By Notice dated May 30, 1995, and published in the Federal Register on June 8, 1995, (60 FR 30319), Research Triangle Institute, Kenneth H. Davis, Jr., Hermann Building, East Institute Drive, P.O. Box 12194, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina 27709, made application to the Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) to be registered as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed below:

Drug	Schedule
Marihuana (7360)	I
Cocaine (9041)	II

No comments or objections have been received. Therefore, pursuant to section 1008(a) of the Controlled Substances Import and Export Act and in accordance with title 21, Code of Federal Regulations, § 1311.42, the above firm is granted registration as an importer of the basic classes of controlled substances listed above.

Dated: July 19, 1995.

# Gene R. Haislip,

Deputy Assistant Administrator, Office of Diversion Control, Drug Enforcement Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–18409 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–09–M

# Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention

# Continuation of Funding of Children's Advocacy Centers

**AGENCY:** U.S. Department of Justice, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

**ACTION:** Notice of continuation grants.

SUMMARY: The purpose of this notice is to announce the Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention's (OJJDP) intent to develop local children's advocacy centers through continuation funding to regional children's advocacy centers and the National Network of Children's Advocacy Centers.

#### FOR FURTHER INFORMATION CONTACT:

Robin V. Delany-Shabazz, program manager, Training and Technical Assistance Division, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention, 633 Indiana Avenue, Northwest, Room 710, Washington, DC., 20531; (202) 307– 9963.

#### SUPPLEMENTARY INFORMATION:

#### Children's Advocacy Centers

With respect to the funding of Children's Advocacy Centers, pursuant to the Victims of Child Abuse Act, 42 U.S.C. 130001b - 130002, OJJDP has established a five-year project period for the Regional Children's Advocacy Center programs, effective February 21, 1995.

Given availability of funds and adequate performance, awards will be without further competition for the duration of the project period to the four grantees currently managing the Regional Children's Advocacy Centers (RCAC). They are as follows: Children's Advocacy Center, Inc. (Northeast RCAC); National Children's Advocacy Center, Inc. (Southern RCAC); LaRabida Children's Hospital and Research Center (Midwest RCAC); and Pueblo Child Advocacy Center (Western RCAC).

Funding to local Children's Advocacy Centers will continue to be administered by the National Network of Children's Advocacy Centers. The National Network will competitively subgrant funds to local Children's Advocacy Centers. A continuation grant will be awarded without competition for the purposes of grants administration to the National Network, contingent upon availability of funds and grantee performance. Interested applicants for local Children's Advocacy Center funds

can contact the National Network on 202/639–0597.

# Shay Bilchik,

Administrator, Office of Juvenile Justice and Delinquency Prevention.

[FR Doc. 95–18459 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4410–18–P

#### **DEPARTMENT OF LABOR**

#### **Employment Standards Administration**

Reporting and Recordkeeping Requirements for Supply and Service Contractors; OMB Number 1215–0072; Agency Reporting/Recordkeeping Requirements To Be Reviewed by the Office of Management and Budget (OMB); Correction

**AGENCY:** Employment Standards Administration.

**ACTION:** Correction.

**SUMMARY:** In notice document 95–17997 beginning on page 37675 in the issue of Friday, July 21, 1995, make the following correction:

On page 37676; in the first column, the description cited 38 USC 2012. This should be changed to read 38 USC 4212.

Dated: July 24, 1995.

### Margaret J. Sherrill,

Chief Branch of Management, Review and Analysis, Division of Financial Management, Office of Management, Administration and Planning, Employment Standards Administration.

[FR Doc. 95–18465 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–27–M

# **Employment and Training Administration**

[TA-W-31, 024]

Legends Manufacturing, Inc., A/K/A Linden Dress, Throop, Pennsylvania; Amended Certification Regarding Eligibility To Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance

In accordance with Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974 (19 USC 2273) the Department of Labor issued a Notice of Certification Regarding Eligibility to Apply for Worker Adjustment Assistance on June 14, 1995, applicable to all workers of Legends Manufacturing, Incorporated, Throop, Pennsylvania. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on June 27, 1995 (60 FR 33235).

New information received from the State Agency show that some of the workers at Legends Manufacturing, Incorporated had their unemployment insurance (UI) taxes paid to Linden Dress

Accordingly, the Department is amending the certification to properly reflect this matter.

The intent of the Department's certification is to include all workers of Legends Manufacturing, Incorporated who were adversely affected by increased imports.

The amended notice applicable to TA-W-31,024 is hereby issued as follows:

"All workers of Legends Manufacturing, Incorporated, a/k/a Linden Dress, Throop, Pennsylvania who became totally or partially separated from employment on or after April 20, 1994 are eligible to apply for adjustment assistance under Section 223 of the Trade Act of 1974."

Signed at Washington, DC., this 17th day of July 1995.

#### Victor J. Trunzo,

Program Manager, Policy and Reemployment Services, Office of Trade Adjustment Assistance.

[FR Doc. 95–18466 Filed 7–26–95; 8:45 am] BILLING CODE 4510–30-M

#### [NAFTA-00422]

# General Electric Co., Rome, Georgia; Notice of Revised Determination on Reopening

On July 5, 1995, the Department, at the request of the petitioners, reopened its investigation for workers producing medium transformers at the subject facility. The initial investigation resulted in a negative determination. The notice was published in the **Federal Register** on May 25, 1995 (60 FR 27794).

The Department had issued a negative determination in the subject case because criteria (3) and (4) of the group eligibility requirements in paragraph (a)(1) of Section 250 of the Trade Act of 1974, as amended, were not met. Imports of medium transformers from Mexico or Canada did not contribute importantly to worker separations at the General Electric Company, Rome, Georgia facility, and there was no shift in production to Mexico or Canada.

The petitioners had alleged a shift in production by the subject firm to Mexico. An intent to outsource some production had been announced, but a final decision had not yet been made. New findings on reopening show that on June 12, 1995, General Electric Company ratified an agreement to shift some production of transformers to Mexico.

Other findings show that sales, production and employment decreased